IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY PETITION NO.12 OF 1998

Shah Prabhudas Gulabchand ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH

COMPANY PETITION NO.1022 OF 1998

M/s. Associated Investment & Trading Co. ..Petitioner

Vs.

Wanbury Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH

COMPANY PETITION NO.32 OF 1998

Garden Finance Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH

COMPANY PETITION NO.464 OF 1998

GE Capital Transportation Financial Services Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.764 OF 1998

S. P. Capital Financing Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.1016 OF 1998

Baldeo r. Desai ..Petitioner

Vs.

Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH

COMPANY PETITION NO.33 OF 1999

Esanda Finaz & Leasing Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.328 OF 1999

M/s. Hikal Chemicals Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.1141 OF 1999

M/s. Vam Organic Chemicals Ltd. ..Petitioner

Vs.

M/s. Pharmaceuticals Products India Ltd. ..Respondents

None present for the parties in CP/12/98, CP/464/98, CP/764/98, CP/1016/98, CP/33/99, CP/328/99 and CP/1141/99

None for the Petitioner in CP/1022/97
Mr. Rehman i/b M/s. D. H. Law Associates for Respondent

Ms. Swati Bodhanwala i/b FZB Associates for Petitioner in CP/32/98
None for the Respondent

CORAM: **DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.**

DATE: 5th November , 2009

P.C. :

Counsel appearing on behalf of the Company has placed on record a copy

of the Judgment of the Supreme Court dated 16th May 2008, by which the proceedings were remitted back to the BIFR, so as to enable it to proceed in accordance with the provisions of Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, afresh. Counsel for the Respondent states that proceedings are still pending before the BIFR. In that view of the matter, further hearing of the Petition is adjourned sine die with liberty to the parties to move the Court after the proceedings before the BIFR and AAIFR have come to an end. Counsel for the Respondent undertakes to inform the registry, once the proceedings under SICA come to an end and also to inform Advocates for the Petitioners.

(Dr. D.Y.Chandrachud, J)